

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

In re Patent Application of:)	Group Art Unit: 2161
)	
Akira Nonaka, et al.)	Examiner: Frantz Coby
)	
Application No. 09/856,276)	Confirmation No.: 5130
)	
Filed: October 2, 2001)	
)	
For: DATA PROVIDING SYSTEM AND METHOD)	
THEREFOR)	

MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPELLANTS' REPLY BRIEF ON APPEAL

Dear Sir:

Appellants respectfully submit this Reply Brief under 37 C.F.R. § 41.41 in response to the Supplemental Examiner's Answer mailed on February 9, 2007. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-3140. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 9, 2007

By: /David R. Metzger/
David R. Metzger
Registration No. 32,919
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP
P.O. Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080
(312) 876-8000

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

In re Patent Application of:)	Group Art Unit: 2161
)	
Akira Nonaka, et al.)	Examiner: Frantz Coby
)	
Application No. 09/856,276)	Confirmation No.: 5130
)	
Filed: October 2, 2001)	
)	
For: DATA PROVIDING SYSTEM AND METHOD)	
THEREFOR)	

MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPELLANTS' REPLY BRIEF ON APPEAL

Dear Sir:

Appellants respectfully submit this Reply Brief under 37 C.F.R. § 41.41 in response to the Supplemental Examiner's Answer mailed on February 9, 2007.

I. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-5, 71, 140, 141, 287 and 288 are pending in this application. Claims 6-70, 72-139 and 142-286 have been cancelled. The present Appeal is directed to claims 1-4, 71, 140, and 287-288 that were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Linehan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,495,533), and claims 5 and 141 that were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linehan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,495,533) in view of Kravitz et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,738,905) in a final office action dated September 23, 2005.

II. GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

1. Claims 1-4, 71, 140, and 287-288 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Linehan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,495,533).

2. Claims 5 and 141 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Linehan et al. in view of Kravitz et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,738,905).

III. ARGUMENT

Applicants note for the record that the Supplemental Examiner's Answer mailed on February 13, 2007, is in relevant part and substance identical to the original Examiner's Answer mailed on September 14, 2006. Accordingly, applicants incorporate herein by reference the Appellants' Amended Brief filed on August 11, 2006, in its entirety for all purposes, as the previously submitted brief already addresses the points raised by the Supplemental Examiner's Answer. All points and arguments made and presented in the previously filed brief are to be considered as if provided in response to the Supplemental Examiner's Answer as if presented herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 9, 2007

By: /David R. Metzger/
David R. Metzger
Registration No. 32,919
SONNENSCHNEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP
P.O. Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080
(312) 876-8000